Mid point free sets

R.B. Eggleton, M. Morayne, R. Rałowski, Szymon Żeberski Wrocław University of Technology

> WS 2015 Hejnice

> > ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Definition

- Let (G, +) be any abelian Polish group. Let c ∈ G. We write nc = c + · · · + c if there are n terms in the sum. We say that c ∈ G is a midpoint of a, b ∈ G if a ≠ b and 2c = a + b.
- We say that a subset A of an abelian froup G is midpoint free if no point of A is a midpoint of two other points of A.
- A subset A of a vector space V is called *rationally convex* if q₁v₁ + ... q_nv_n ∈ A for any finite sequence v₁,..., v_n of pairwise different elements from A and any sequence of positive rational numbers q₁,..., q_n, such that q₁ + ... + q_n = 1.

Forbidden zones

►
$$Z_1(A) = \{ v \in G : (\exists a, b \in A) | a + b = 2v \}$$

►
$$Z_2(A) = \{ v \in G : (\exists a, b \in A) | v = 2b - a \}$$

If A is a maximal midpoint free set than its complement is the union of the first and the second forbidden zones for A.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Forbidden zones

►
$$Z_1(A) = \{ v \in G : (\exists a, b \in A) | a + b = 2v \}$$

►
$$Z_2(A) = \{ v \in G : (\exists a, b \in A) | v = 2b - a \}$$

If A is a maximal midpoint free set than its complement is the union of the first and the second forbidden zones for A.

Let us observe that every maximal midpoint free set is not linearly independent over field $\mathbb Q$ of all rational numbers. Moreover, every maximal midpoint free set contains a Hamel basis.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CH is equivalent to the existence of a partition of the real line into a countable family of independent sets with respect to the rationals \mathbb{Q} .

CH is equivalent to the existence of a partition of the real line into a countable family of independent sets with respect to the rationals \mathbb{Q} .

Remark

From this it follows immediately that under CH the real line can be decomposed onto countably many midpoint free sets.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CH is equivalent to the existence of a partition of the real line into a countable family of independent sets with respect to the rationals \mathbb{Q} .

Remark

From this it follows immediately that under CH the real line can be decomposed onto countably many midpoint free sets.

Theorem

Real line can be decomposed into countably many rationally convex free sets.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CH is equivalent to the existence of a partition of the real line into a countable family of independent sets with respect to the rationals \mathbb{Q} .

Remark

From this it follows immediately that under *CH* the real line can be decomposed onto countably many midpoint free sets.

Theorem

Real line can be decomposed into countably many rationally convex free sets.

Proof

Let $\{x_{\xi}: \xi < 2^{\omega}\}$ be a Hamel base of \mathbb{R} . For every sequence (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) of rational numbers which are not equal to zero set

$$A(q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n) = \{q_1 x_{\xi_1} + q_2 x_{\xi_2} + \cdots + q_n x_{\xi_n} : \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \ldots \leq \xi_n\}.$$

Lemma

Rational numbers can be decomposed onto countable many maximal midpoint free sets.

Lemma

Rational numbers can be decomposed onto countable many maximal midpoint free sets.

Lemma

Let $X \leq \mathbb{R}$ be a proper countable linear subspace of \mathbb{R} over rationals and $Z = span_{\mathbb{Q}}\{X \cup \{h\}\}$ for a some $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus X$. Assume that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Q_n$ be a partition of X onto maximal midpoint free sets. Then there exists a docomposition of $Z = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} R_n$ onto maximal midpoint free set such that for any $n \in \omega$ we have $Q_n \subseteq R_n$.

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Lemma

Rational numbers can be decomposed onto countable many maximal midpoint free sets.

Lemma

Let $X \leq \mathbb{R}$ be a proper countable linear subspace of \mathbb{R} over rationals and $Z = span_{\mathbb{Q}}\{X \cup \{h\}\}$ for a some $h \in \mathbb{R} \setminus X$. Assume that $X = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Q_n$ be a partition of X onto maximal midpoint free sets. Then there exists a docomposition of $Z = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} R_n$ onto maximal midpoint free set such that for any $n \in \omega$ we have $Q_n \subseteq R_n$.

Theorem

CH implies the countable decomposition of the real line onto maximal midpoint free sets.

Real line can be partitioned into continuum many maximal midpoint free sets.

Real line can be partitioned into continuum many maximal midpoint free sets.

Proof

Let $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} Q_n$ be decomposition from Lemma. Assume that $0 \in Q_0$. Let $\{v_\alpha : \alpha \in 2^\omega\}$ be Hamel basis of \mathbb{R} . Let $f : 2^\omega \to \omega$ be such that $supp(f) = \{\alpha : f(\alpha) \neq 0\}$ be finite. Set

$$R_f = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in 2^\omega} q_\alpha v_\alpha : \ \forall \alpha \ q_\alpha \in Q_{f(\alpha)} \ \text{and} \ q_\alpha = 0 \right.$$

for all but finetely many α 's}

Every midpoint free set in a Euclidean space which has the Baire property is meager and every measurable midpoint free set has Lebesgue zero.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Every midpoint free set in a Euclidean space which has the Baire property is meager and every measurable midpoint free set has Lebesgue zero.

Theorem

Every abelian Polish group such that the set $\{x \in G : x + x = a\}$ is countable for every $a \in G$ contains a midpoint free set which is also a Bernstein set.

- ▶ Under *CH* there is a midpoint free set which is a Lusin set;
- ► It is relatively consistent with ZFC that ¬CH and there is a midpoint free set which is also a Lusin set.

Of course, the same is true when we replace Lusin set by Sierpiński set.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Definition

 $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ is

- ► bounded if there is $f \in \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ such that $\forall a \in A \ \forall^{\infty} n \ |a(n)| \le |f(n)|;$
- unbounded if A is not bounded;
- dominating if $\forall f \in \mathbb{Z}^{\omega} \exists a \in A \ \forall^{\infty} n \ |f(n)| \leq |a(n)|$.

- 1. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ is maximal midpoint free then A is unbounded.
- 2. There exists a maximal midpoint free $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ which is dominating.
- 3. There exists a maximal midpoint free $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\omega}$ which is not dominating.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Example

The hyperbola $H = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy = 1\}$ is a maximal closed midpoint free subset of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Example

The hyperbola $H = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy = 1\}$ is a maximal closed midpoint free subset of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 .

Example

 $\mathbb{T}=S^1\times S^1,$ where addition is defined by the following formula:

$$(a,b)+(c,d)=(a+c \mod 1, b+d \mod 1).$$

A circle $C = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{T} : (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 + (y - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{18}\}$ is a closed maximal midpoint free subset of \mathbb{T} .

The set $F = \{a = .a_1a_2...: a_i = 0 \text{ or } a_i = 1, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of those points in S^1 whose quaternary expansions have only digits 0 and 1 is a closed maximal midpoint free subset of S^1 .

The set $F = \{a = .a_1a_2...: a_i = 0 \text{ or } a_i = 1, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of those points in S^1 whose quaternary expansions have only digits 0 and 1 is a closed maximal midpoint free subset of S^1 .

Theorem

If *E* is a closed maximal midpoint free subset of S^1 , then the first forbidden zone for *E*, $Z_1(E) = \{x : 2x = a + b : b, a \in E, a \neq b\}$, is a proper subset of E^c .

There exists a maximal midpoint free subset F of the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ with the first forbidden zone $Z_1(F)$ equal to the whole F^c .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

There exists a maximal midpoint free subset F of the group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ with the first forbidden zone $Z_1(F)$ equal to the whole F^c .

Theorem

Let C_1 be the set of those points from the interval [0,1] whoce quartenary expansion contains only numbers: 0, 1, and let C_2 be the set of those points from the interval [0,1] whose decimal expansion contains only numbers: 0, 2. These sets are midpoint free and $C_1 + C_2$ is equal to the interval [0,1].

There exists a maximal closed midpoint free subset F of the group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ with the first forbidden zone $Z_1(F)$ equal to the whole F^c .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Thank you for your attention!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>